

## ULTRA-LOW TO MODERATE INTENSITY SPECTROMETRIC NEUTRON DOSIMETRY WITH H\*10-TMFD vs ROSPEC, EBERLINE, AND LUDLUM DETECTOR SYSTEMS

### Presenter

Stepan Ozerov

(1) School of Nuclear Engineering, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, IN , USA

Email: [sozerov@purdue.edu](mailto:sozerov@purdue.edu)

### Additional Authors

N. Boyle<sup>1,2\*</sup>, C. Harabagiu<sup>1</sup>, D. DiPrete,<sup>3</sup> T. Whiteside<sup>3</sup>, A. Boone<sup>4</sup>, D. Hadlock<sup>4</sup>, W. Noll<sup>4</sup>, D. Roberts<sup>4</sup>, J. Vogt<sup>4</sup> and R. P. Talevarkhan<sup>1,\*\*</sup>

(1) School of Nuclear Engineering, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, IN , USA

(2) US Intelligence Community Fellow; (\*) Work done while at Purdue Univ.

(3) Savannah River National Laboratory, Aiken, SC, USA

(4) Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, Aiken, SC, USA

(\*\*) – Corresponding Author: rusi@purdue.edu

This paper presents results of studies conducted at Savannah River Site pertaining to ultra-low through moderate intensity neutron dosimetry with spectrometry enabled panel of tensioned metastable fluid detectors (TMFDs) and compared against state-of-art systems. H\*10 neutron dosimetry (unlike for gamma dosimetry), requires consideration of neutron energy spectra due to the 20x variation of the weight factor over the thermal-to-fast energy range, as well as the neutron radiation field dose rates ranging from cosmic ( $\sim \mu\text{Rem}/\text{h}$ ) levels to commonly encountered  $\sim 10\text{-}20 \text{ mRem}/\text{h}$  in nuclear laboratories /processing plants, and upwards of  $10^6 \text{ Rem}/\text{h}$  in nuclear reactor environments. This paper discusses the outcome of comparison of spectrum-weighted neutron dosimetry covering thermal-to-fast energy using the novel H\*-TMFD spectroscopy enabled sensor system in comparison with measurements using state-of-art neutron dosimetry systems at SRNS – Rotating Spectrometer (ROSPEC™), and non-spectroscopic Eberline ASP2E™ (hereafter, “Eberline”) and Ludlum 42-49B™ (hereafter, “Ludlum”) survey instrumentation. The H\*-TMFD was validated for gamma blindness using a 0.67 Ci Cs-137 source and the background dose rate in SRS’s low-scatter facility (LCF) with all neutron sources withdrawn was estimated at  $\sim 0.5 \mu\text{Rem}/\text{h}$ . Thereafter, moderate and ultra-low radiation field neutron dose assessments were conducted for spectroscopic and survey mode neutron dosimetry with H\*-TMFD, ROSPEC, Eberline and Ludlum devices.

From moderately high radiation fields tests conducted with the high intensity ( $1.6 \times 10^9 \text{ n/s}$ ) Cf-252 source and a total data collection time of  $\sim 0.15 \text{ h}$  the predicted dose rates from Eberline (non-spectroscopic), Ludlum (non-spectroscopic) and spectroscopic H\*-TMFD instruments were found to be:  $\sim 17 \text{ mRem}/\text{h}$ ,  $\sim 20 \text{ mRem}/\text{h}$ , and  $\sim 12 \text{ mRem}/\text{h}$ , respectively. The equivalent spectroscopic (SRS measured) H\*10 dose rate from ROSPEC value is  $\sim 13 \text{ mRem}/\text{h}$  which is within 10% of H\*10-TMFD measurement. Unfolded neutron energy spectra comparisons indicated good agreement of the ROSPEC and H\*10-TMFD predictions against that for a bare Cf-252 spectrum with some down scattering effects. Tests conducted for ultra-low intensity radiation field used a  $\sim 1.6 \times 10^3 \text{ n/s}$  Cf-252 bare neutron source for which over a collection time of  $\sim 18 \text{ h}$ , the Eberline meter measured an instantaneous dose/count rate of  $0 \text{ mRem}/\text{h}$  (0 cpm), and a pulse- integrated dose rate of  $\sim 3.4 \mu\text{Rem}/\text{h}$  at  $\sim 1 \text{ m}$ . In contrast, The H\*-TMFD panel located 0.22 m in direct line of sight of the Cf-252 source spectroscopically measured  $\sim 40 \mu\text{Rem}/\text{h}$  (within  $\pm 5\%$ ) over 1.8 h collection live time -for which spectrum matched perfectly to that of a bare Cf-252 source. The H\*TMFD predicted value of  $40 \mu\text{Rem}/\text{h}$  was cross-checked; it is within 10% of LLNL’s published value of  $\sim 37 \text{ micRem}/\text{h}$  (intensity / distance corrected via  $1/r^2$  law of:  $2.55 \text{ mRem}/\text{h}$  at  $1 \text{ m}$  for a  $1 \mu\text{g}$  Cf-252 source); as well, predicted from use of ICRP-74 conversion coefficients, and MCNP code simulation of experiment. Epithermal neutron energy related dose rates were measured by H\*TMFD to be well below 1% of the total dose rates. For  $\mu\text{Rem}/\text{h}$  neutron radiation fields, ROSPEC measurements for H\*10 dose rates are estimated to take 7+ days, versus under 2 hours with the H\*TMFD.

The feasibility to utilize a single CTMFD in survey mode for H\*10 dose rate ( $\mu\text{Rem}/\text{h}$  to  $\text{mRem}/\text{h}$ ) measurements (tied to pre-programmed energy spectra) within 2-3 minutes was demonstrated.